

In-Depth Coverage of Products, Technologies & Trends for Lifting Equipment Professionals

LIFT and ACCESS[®]

November-December 2010

LiftandAccess.com

Free to Attend
4 DAYS - 4 TOPICS
SAF-T
CONFERENCE WEBINAR
NOV. 15-18
SAF-TConference.com
Register Now!



LEAP ▶
AWARDS 2010



DISCOVER NEW STRATEGIES FOR WORKSITE INSPECTIONS



Selecting Equipment for Safety

Katie Parrish

The November-December issue of *Lift and Access* marks our annual safety issue, and a few topics caught my eye recently concerning safety and site compliance. While working on an article on explosion-proof equipment with Man & Material Lift Engineering, Cudahy, Wis., I learned that potentially hazardous environments, including the aircraft, gas and petroleum, paint, manufacturing, and mining industries, often require specially designed equipment that can withstand explosions and fires. These explosive locations may have flammable gases or vapors, flammable liquids, combustible dust, or ignitable fibers. (For more information specifically on when and where to use explosion-proof equipment, turn to page 24.)

“Survey respondents noted comfort was their biggest PPE complaint while others said that the equipment was too hot, poor fitting, or unattractive.”

However, the company reports that while federal regulations require companies to employ explosion-proof lifting equipment, there is some resistance to using these machines. Many fleet managers and equipment owners refute the need for these units because they say they've never had an accident, or the cost of these specialty products is out of their price range. However, having covered equipment accidents for nearly 10 years, I can't count how many newspaper articles I've read in which the equipment owner is utterly shocked by the result of an accident—even when improper safety equipment or the wrong machines are being used for the job.

Like equipment owners who oppose the use of explosion-proof aerials because of a

higher sticker price or the belief that they just don't need it, complying with personal protective equipment (PPE) requirements is also a challenge. Although I found it interesting, I was not entirely surprised by the results of a safety equipment compliance survey conducted by Kimberly-Clark Professional. Ninety-eight percent of respondents of the poll, which took place at an American Society of Safety Engineers show in June, said they had observed workers who were not wearing necessary safety equipment while on the job. What's more, 30 percent of respondents said this occurred on numerous occasions. Kimberly-Clark Professional also conducted this survey in 2006, 2007, and 2008 at the National Safety Council Congress, which produced similar results.

Failing to wear eye protection is the most common PPE offense, followed by foregoing hearing protection. Although I do not have tangible numbers, I would lump in the use of fall protection harnesses as another common transgression—particularly with the use of aerial work platforms. Survey respondents noted comfort was their biggest PPE complaint, while others said that equipment was too hot, not available near the work task, a poor fit, or unattractive looking.

What are ways to improve compliance of equipment and PPE? Education and training in our industry needs to constantly be at the forefront of our thoughts and discussions. Those who are ignorant of ways to protect themselves simply will not be safe. Additionally, make employees accountable for their own actions by monitoring them individually, as well as tie in compliance to performance evaluations. Finally, if you are a manager, lead by example by wearing your own protective equipment and employing the right equipment for the job. With the high costs of insurance and worker's compensation claims, there is no reason not to. ■

EDITORIAL & DESIGN

PRESIDENT AND PUBLISHER GUY RAMSEY
602-368-8552
gramsey@maxcapmedia.com

VICE PRESIDENT OF OPERATIONS BARBARA BENTON
800-231-8953, ext. 2114
barbara@cranehotline.com

EDITORIAL DIRECTOR TRACY BENNETT
816-536-7903
tbennett@liftandaccess.com

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF KATIE PARRISH
480-241-5625
kparrish@liftandaccess.com

SENIOR EDITOR LUCY PERRY
816-214-5032
lperry@cranehotline.com

ART DIRECTOR KARLA KING
602-570-0105
king409@comcast.net

EDITORIAL ASSISTANT INTERNS ALLYSON GOODIEL, GRANT LILE

ADVERTISING SALES

800-231-8953

515-574-2312 (direct) • FAX 515-574-2361
Advertising Rates, Deadlines and
Mechanical Requirements furnished upon request.

DIRECTOR OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MARK BRIDGER
480-231-9672
mbridger@maxcapmedia.com

ACCOUNT REPRESENTATIVE CINDY BOGE
515-574-2312, ext. 2284
cindyb@cranehotline.com

ACCOUNT REPRESENTATIVE FLOYD GEOPPERT
515-574-2312, ext. 2278
floyd@cranehotline.com

ACCOUNT REPRESENTATIVE JEFF SHUMAR
602-368-8552
jshumar@maxcapmedia.com

SALES & MARKETING ASSISTANT FRANCI M. MOTZ
602-368-8552
fmotz@maxcapmedia.com

CIRCULATION

800-231-8953, ext. 267 • Fax: 515-574-2361
info@liftandaccess.com

Maximum Capacity Media, LLC
Publisher of *Crane Hot Line* magazine, *Lift and Access* magazine,
Industrial Lift and Hoist magazine, and *Lifting 360* eMagazine

1003 Central Avenue, P.O. Box 1052 • Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501
515-574-2312 • Fax: 515-574-2361
Website: LiftandAccess.com eNewsletter: Lifting 360


Lift and Access is published six times per year in January-February, March-April (Equipment Guide), May-June, July-August, September-October, and November-December by Maximum Capacity Media, LLC, 1003 Central Ave., Fort Dodge, IA 50501, Phone 515-574-2312, Fax 515-574-2361. Entire contents copyright 2010 by Maximum Capacity Media, LLC. All rights reserved. Opinions expressed by writers of *Lift and Access* are not necessarily held by the publisher. Subscription: *Lift and Access* is mailed free to major users of lifting equipment in the United States and Canada. POSTMASTER: Please send address changes to Maximum Capacity Media, 1003 Central Ave., Fort Dodge, IA 50501.

The information and advertising set forth herein has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable and has been compiled with great care. Maximum Capacity Media, LLC, however, does not warrant complete accuracy of such information and assumes no responsibility for any consequences arising from the use thereof or reliance thereon. Publisher reserves the right to reject or cancel any advertisement or space reservation at any time without notice. Publisher shall not be liable for any costs or damages if for any reason it fails to publish an advertisement. Our advertisers are solely responsible for the content of their respective advertisements appearing in this publication and Publisher shall not be responsible or liable in any manner for inaccuracies, false statements, or any material in such advertisement infringing upon the intellectual rights of others. This publication may not be reproduced, in whole or part, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopied, recorded, or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher.



Lifting in Hazardous Environments

Potentially dangerous areas require specially designed lifting equipment.



Paint facilities and the aircraft industry are two potentially explosive locations.

According to the National Fire Protection Association, U.S. fire departments respond to an average of 10,500 structure fires in industrial and manufacturing properties each year. Dozens of people are killed and hundreds are injured every year in fires and explosions. Additionally, hundreds of millions of dollars are lost in damages to buildings and property.

Lifting equipment that is inappropriate for these hazardous environments may be the culprit. For example, a worker was killed in a California paint facility when an industrial lift that was not rated for the hazardous environment ignited explosive gases. Similarly in Texas, a battery-powered industrial lift operating around explosive gases caught the mixture on fire and sent several people to the hospital and caused \$3.2 million in damages.

It is impossible to put a price on the loss of even a single human life or the economical and ecological impact of such accidents on a community, region, or country. But for many, the reasons to adopt explosion-proof aerial lifts in their fleets still is unclear.

Oftentimes, fleet managers and equipment owners say: "Why should I buy explosion proof?

We have never had an accident." Others may want to buy an explosion-proof lift, but use the excuse that it costs more than standard equipment and out of range of their budget. However, the federal government requires companies to use explosion-proof equipment in hazardous locations. It is a fact that flammable gases, vapors, and dust are created and escape during the production, processing, transporting, and storage of many materials. These flammable gases, vapors, and dust can form an explosive atmosphere. If this atmosphere is ignited, an explosion can take place, which may result in loss of life and injury, as well as damage to property, equipment, and the environment.

Dangerous areas

A hazardous location is an area where a fire and/or explosion hazard may exist due to flammable gases or vapors, flammable liquids, combustible dust, or ignitable fibers. The area is classified in accordance with properties of the material responsible for the potential hazard and with the likelihood of the hazard actually being present. Hazardous locations are defined in terms of the physical properties of the materials, its similarity to other materials, and the

potential risk or exposure. (See sidebar on opposite page for more details.)

Class: Denotes physical characteristic of the materials.

Division: Classifies the likelihood of the presence of the hazardous condition.

Group: Categorizes the materials by relatively similar hazardous characteristics.

Some of these potentially dangerous locations include the aircraft and aerospace, gas and petroleum, paint and chemical, manufacturing and processing, and mining and coal industries.

In the aircraft and aerospace industries, explosion-proof aerial lifts and material-handling equipment are used in commercial, recreational, and military aircraft for prepping, painting, refueling, and towing. EX lifts greatly increase



Battery-powered EX scissor lifts typically house the battery box and electric motor inside an explosion-proof box.

Information provided by Man & Material Lift Engineering, Cudahy, Wis., which designs and manufactures explosion-proof equipment. MLE engineers lifts for hazardous and clean room environments, electrically insulated and high capacity lifts, self-propelled machinery carts, forklifts, pallet trucks, stackers, and electric cranes. MLE also provides advanced product testing, verification, and certification. For more information, visit www.manliftengineering.com.



Tires use specially compounded electrically conductive rubber to ground the machine to prevent electric sparks.

the safety of various industrial processes in hazardous environments where explosive dusts and gases may be present. They also prevent and protect against property damage and the loss of life that may occur from explosion hazards.

Offshore oil drilling rigs and storage facilities employ explosion-proof protection for material handling and maintenance.

Facilities maintenance, receiving, storing, manufacturing, warehousing, and shipping of potentially explosive materials in paint, chemical, manufacturing and processing plants often require explosion-proof lifts and equipment.

Additionally, aerial lifts and equipment used in underground mines are heavily infiltrated by dust. Vehicles used in these operations, by regulation, require explosion-proof protection.

Equipment operation

To safely operate aerial lifts and other powered equipment in hazardous environments, the machines must meet either the NFPA DY or EX designation. It is important to note that many professionals are confused about these designations and often believe that equipment designated as EE is approved for explosive environments. However, EE machines have minimal spark protection and do not comply with the strict electrical requirements of NFPA 70 (NEC) for hazardous environments.

As a regulating body with the enforcement authority, local fire departments can identify and classify a hazardous area during routine inspections. They also can determine if equipment used in a hazardous area conforms to the appropriate approval rating. However, this level of inspection detail is often overlooked as inspections are mainly focused on debris, extinguishers, exit signage, and fire plans. OSHA also has regulatory and enforcement authority,

deriving its standards from NFPA and ANSI, but it usually does not perform inspections unless a complaint is received or an accident has occurred. Other influencing factors are insurance companies and in-house safety committees and professionals.

Once the machine and environment designations are established, equipment owners need to decide what type of power they prefer. Batteries are the most popular, and a battery-powered scissor lift used in a paint booth, for example, would typically house the battery box and electric motor inside an explosion-proof box. All electrical controls would be intrinsically safe or hydraulic, and rigid, sealed conduit pipes enclose all wiring. Tires use specially compounded electrically conductive rubber to ground the machine to prevent electric sparks.

If the application is complex, a custom lift may be required. For example, very hard-to-reach surfaces, such as the interior fuselage of an aircraft, may need to have a telescoping boom that extends horizontally but also moves vertically while remaining horizontal, as well as provide sideways access with the addition of a jib. In this case, the same codes have to be followed with respect to producing an EX lift.

For equipment owners using aerial lifts and other lifting equipment in volatile environments, one of the key considerations is the primary power source. Next is the configuration of the machine—will a scissor lift provide enough access to all application areas, or is an articulated straight boom lift necessary? Finally, owners also should consider that if the application is too complex, a custom-designed machine may be needed. ■

Hazardous Classes

The NFPA divides hazardous areas into three classes, two divisions, and seven groups. The purpose of creating the complex designation scheme was to match conditions with protection methods. The three main classes are flammable gases (I), combustible dusts (II), and ignitable fibers and flyings (III). Subsequently, there are two divisions.

Division 1 is the more serious hazardous area and is defined as:

- Locations where volatile flammable liquids or liquefied flammable gases are transferred from one container to another;
- Interiors of spray booths and areas in the vicinity of spraying and painting operations where volatile flammable solvents are used;
- Locations containing open tanks or vats of volatile flammable liquids; drying rooms; or compartments for the evaporation of flammable solvents;
- Locations containing fat- and oil-extraction apparatuses that use volatile flammable solvents;
- Portions of cleaning and dyeing plants where hazardous liquids are used;
- Gas generator rooms and other portions of gas manufacturing plants where flammable gas can escape;
- Inadequately ventilated pump rooms for flammable gas or for volatile flammable liquids;
- Interiors of refrigerators and freezers in which volatile flammable materials are stored in open, lightly stoppered, or easily ruptured containers; and
- All other locations where hazardous concentrations of flammable vapors or gases are likely to occur in the course of normal operations.

Division 2 areas are less hazardous and are defined as:

- Areas where volatiles are confined within closed containers or closed systems from which they can escape only in the event of the accidental rupture or breakdown of such containers or systems or in the event of abnormal operation of equipment;
- In locations in which ignitable concentrations of gases or vapors are normally prevented by positive mechanical ventilation but where such concentrations can become hazardous through failure or abnormal operation of the ventilating equipment;
- In locations adjacent to Class 1, Division 1 locations and to which ignitable concentrations of gases or vapors can occasionally be communicated.

The seven groups are categorized based upon a material's relative volatility as compared with those of acetylene. They are acetylene (A), ethylene (B), hydrogen (C), and propane (D), as well as metal dusts (E) and other combustible dusts including coal, coke, carbon black, and charcoal dusts (F), and grain and wood dust (G). These are used as points of reference as to the degree of volatility of a given area.